Japan, Russia, and probably India — as well as a multiplicity of medium-sized and smaller countries." Kissinger's six major powers belong to five very different civilizations, and in addition there are important Islamic states whose tial in world affairs. In this new world, local politics is the politics of civilizations. The rivalry of the superpowers is replaced by the clash of civilizations.

cultural conflicts are those along the fault lines between civilizations, cultural factors rather than economics or ideology." And the most dangerous history," and Jacques Delors agreed that "future conflicts will be sparked by observed, "are increasing and are more dangerous today than at any time in interest but because of cultural kinship. "Cultural conflicts," Vaclav Havel has to the Boxmans, not for reasons of ideology or power politics or economic the Scrbs, and Sandi Arabia, Turkey, Iran, and Lilya provided funds and arms bigger wars. In the Yingoslav conflicts, Russia provided diplomatic support to civilizations in Bosnia, the Caucasus, Central Asia, or Kashniir could become Uganda, Zaire, and Burundi but not much further. The bloody clashes of of broader conflict. The bloody clash of tribes in Rwanda has consequences for of their "kin countries." The bloods clash of clans in Somalia poses no threat esculation as other states and groups from these civilizations rally to the support and groups from different civilizations, however, carries with it the potential for and ellimic conflicts will occur within civilizations. Violence between states groups, but between peoples belonging to different cultural entities. Tribal wars will not be between social classes, rich and poor, or other economically defined In this new world the most pervasive, important, and dangerous conflicts

In the post-Cold War world, culture is both a divisive and a unifying force. People separated by ideology but united by culture come together, as the two Societies united by ideology or historical circumstance but divided by civilization either come apart, as did the Soviet Union, Yugoslavia, and Bosnia, or are subjected to intense strain, as is the case with Ukraine, Nigeria, Sudan, India, nomically and politically. International organizations based on states with cultural affinities cooperate ecotural commonably, such as the European Union, are far more successful than was the central dividing line in Europe. That line has moved several hundred the one hand, from Muslim and Orthodox peoples of Western Christianity, on The philosonhical assessment of the contraction of the other.

The philosophical assumptions, underlying values, social relations, customs, and overall outlooks on life differ significantly among civilizations. The revitalization of religion throughout much of the world is reinforcing these cultural

and economics can vary from one period to another. Yet the major differences in political and economic development among civilizations are clearly rooted in their different cultures. East Asian economic success has its source in East Asian culture, as do the difficulties East Asian societies have had in achieving gtable democratic political systems. Islamic culture explains in large part the failure of democracy to emerge in much of the Muslim world. Developments in the postcommunist societies of Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union are shaped by their civilizational identities. Those with Western Christian heritages are making progress toward economic development and democratic politics; the prospects for economic and political development in the Orthodox

The West is and will remain for years to come the most powerful civilization. Yet its power relative to that of other civilizations is declining. As the West attempts to assert its values and to protect its interests, non-Western societies confront a choice. Some attempt to emulate the West and to join or to "bandwagon" with the West. Other Confucian and Islamic societies attempt to expand their own economic and military power to resist and to "balance" against the West. A central axis of post-Cold War world politics is thus the interaction of Western power and culture with the power and culture of non-Western civilizations.

In sum, the post–Cold War world is a world of seven or eight major civilizations. Cultural commonalities and differences shape the interests, antagonisms, and associations of states. The most important countries in the world come overwhelmingly from different civilizations. The local conflicts most likely to escalate into broader wars are those between groups and states from different civilizations. The predominant patterns of political and economic development differ from civilization to civilization. The key issues on the international agenda involve differences among civilizations. Global politics has become multipolar and multicivilizational.

OTHER WORLDS?

Maps and Paradigms. This picture of post-Cold War world politics shaped by cultural factors and involving interactions among states and groups from different civilizations is highly simplified. It omits many things, distorts some things, and obscures others. Yet if we are to think seriously about the world, and act effectively in it, some sort of simplified map of reality, some theory, concept, model, paradigm, is necessary. Without such intellectual constructs, there is, as William James said, only "a bloomin buzzin' confusion." Intellectual and scientific advance, Thomas Kuhn showed in his classic The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, consists of the displacement of one paradigm, which

guides to infernational politics.5 that was soon to follow." World views and causal theories are indispensable and so doing prepared the way for the sophisticated strategy of containinent that depicted the international landscape in terms everyone could understand articulated first by Harry Truman, as "an exercise in geopolitical cartography map of some sort. Cartography, like cognition itself, is a necessary simplifica-War image of superpower competition was, as he points out, such a model miliar terrain." John Lewis Gaddis also wisely observed, "generally requires a tion that allows us to see where we are, and where we may be going." The Cold the facts with which it can be confronted."1 "Finding one's way through unfabetter than its competitors, but it need not, and in fact never does, explain all fashion. "To be accepted as a paradigm," Kuhn wrote, "a theory must seem by a new paradigm, which does account for those facts in a more satisfactory has become increasingly incapable of explaining new or newly discovered facts,

accepted, and it shaped thinking about world politics for two generations. point for thinking about international affairs, it came to be almost universally more important phenomena than any of its rivals, it was an essential starting the Sino-Soviet split. Yet as a simple model of global politics, it accounted for the paradigm blinded acholars and statesmen to major developments, such as in world politics. There were many anomalies, to use Kulin's term, and at times of world affairs. This paradigm could not account for everything that went on and acted in terms of the highly simplified but very useful Cold War paradigm For forty years students and practitioners of international relations thought

or implicit models so as to be able to we look at, and how we judge their importance and merits. We need explicit tions, biases, and prejudices that determine how we perceive reality, what facts however, we delinde ourselves. For in the back of our minds are hidden assump need for such guides and assume that we will act only in terms of specific consciously use them to guide our behavior. Alternatively, we may deny the "objective" facts, dealing with each case "on its merits." If we assume this action. On the one hand, we may explicitly formulate theories or models and Simplified paradigms or maps are indispensable for human thought and

- order and generalize about reality;
 understand causal relationships among phenomena;
- anticipare and, if we are lucky, predict future developments;
- 4. distinguish what is important from what is unimportant; and
- show us what paths we should take to achieve our goals.

no map, however, we will be lost. The more detailed a map is the more fully map highlighting airfields, radio beacons, flight paths, and topography. With will not be very useful if we are piloting a plane, in which case we will want a purposes than for others. A road map shows us how to drive from A to B, but Every model or map is an abstraction and will be more useful for some

> other hand, which had only one expressway on it would eliminate much reality major highways are lost in a complex mass of secondary roads. A map, on the digms of world politics were advanced at the end of the Cold War. simplifies reality in a way that best serves our purposes. Several maps or paraby a major accident. In short, we need a map that both portrays reality and and limit our ability to find allemative routes if the expressway were blocked much information unrelated to automotive transportation and in which the expressway, we do not need and may find confusing a map which includes many purposes. If we wish to get from one big city to another on a major will reflect reality. An extremely detailed map, however, will not be useful for

rather boring. nomic and technical problems. And, he concluded rather sudly, it will all be great exhibarating struggles over ideas but rather to resolving mundane ecooverall liberal democracy has triumphed. The future will be devoted not to exist "in places like Managua, Pyongyang, and Cambridge, Massachusetts," but world" that the big changes have occurred, particularly in China and the Soxiet Union. The war of ideas is at an end. Believers in Marxist-Leninism may still conflict is over, and not just in Europe "It is precisely in the non-European said, some conflicts may happen in places in the Third World, but the global nessing." Fukuyama argued, "... the end of history as such: that is, the end monious world. The most widely discussed formulation of this model was the liberal democracy as the final form of human government." To be sure, he point of mankind's ideological evolution and the universalization of Western "end of history" thesis advanced by Francis Fuknyama. " "We may be witsignificant conflict in global politics and the emergence of one relatively harbased on the assumption that the end of the Cold War meant the end of One World: Euphoria and Harmony. One widely articulated paradigm was

We study war no more because war is no more. president of arguably, the world's leading university vetoed appointment of a Professor of security studies because the need had disappeared: "Hallehrjah! regimes had collapsed, the United Nations was to assume a new importance, President of the world's leading country proclaimed the "new world order"; the guin, peacekeeping and peacemaking would be the order of the day. The the former Cold War rivals would engage in "partnership" and a "grand barleaders elaborated similar views. The Berlin wall had come down, communist The expectation of harmony was widely shared. Political and intellectual

of harmony, which was soon revealed to be exactly that. The world became different in the early 1990s, but not necessarily more peaceful. Change was mevitable; progress was not. Similar illusions of harmony flourished, briefly, at The moment of cuphoria at the end of the Cold War generated an illusion

economic and social trends producing a "universal civilization" is discussed in chapter 3. A parallel line of argument based not on the end of the Cold War but on long-term

The New Fra in World Politics

was the "war to cuid wars" and to make the world safe for democracy. World the end of each of the twentieth century's other major conflicts. World War I the exclusive alliances, the balances of power, and all the other expedients that War II, as Franklin Roosevelt put it, would "end the system of unilateral action "a universal organization" of "peace-loving Nations" and the beginnings of a have been tried for centuries and have always failed." Instead we will have nism, fascism, and the reversal of a century-old trend toward democracy. World "permanent structure of peace." World War I, however, generated coming-War II produced a Cold War that was truly global. The illusion of harmony at the end of that Cold War was soon dissipated by the multiplication of ethnic conflicts and "ethnic cleansing," the breakdown of law and order, the emec gence of new patterns of alliance and conflict among states, the resurgence of neo-communist and neo-fascist movements, intensification of religious fundamentalism, the end of the "diplomacy of smiles" and "policy of yes" in Russia. relations with the West, the inability of the United Nations and the United rising China. In the five years after the Berlin wall came down, the States to suppress bloody local conflicts, and the increasing assertiveness of The one harmonious world paradigm is clearly far too divorced from reality "genocide" was heard far more often than in any five years of the Cold Wa

be a useful guide to the post-Cold War world out human history. People are always tempted to divide people into us of major conflicts, the tendency to think in terms of two worlds recurs through ars have analyzed the world in terms of the Orient and the Occident. Non and South, center and periphery. Muslims have traditionally dwided the wor them, the in-group and the other, our civilization and those barbarians. School into Dar al-Islam and Dar al-Harh, the abode of peace and the abode of w This distinction was reflected, and in a sense reversed, at the end of the Co War by American scholars who divided the world into "zones of peace" "zones of turmoil." The former included the West and Japan will about Two Worlds: Us and Them. While one-world expectations appear at the en

percent of the world's population, the latter everyone else. some measure correspond with reality. The most common division, which appears under various names, is between rich (mixlern, developed) countriand poor (traditional, undeveloped or developing) countries. Historically core more on differences in underlying philosophy, values, and way of life." Each East, where the emphasis is less on differences in economic well-being an lating with this economic division as the cultural division between West an modern countries share characteristics which differentiate them from pothese images reflects some elements of reality yet also suffers limitations. Rio traditional countries, which also share characteristics. Differences in weather may lead to conflicts between societies, but the evidence suggests that the Depending upon how the parts are defined, a two-part world picture may

> empire. In the current world, decolonization has occurred and colonial wars of liberation have been replaced by conflicts among the liberated peoples. liberation against the colonial powers, who may well have lost the will to and colonize poor and more traditional societies. The West did this for four hundred years, and then some of the colonies rehelled and waged wars of happens primarily when rich and more powerful societies aftempt to conquer

reality as one happy harmomous world. class war between the poor South and the wealthy North is almost as far from other; poor states may light violent wars with each other; but an international unity, economic power, and military capability to challenge the rich countries eause, except in special circumstances, the poor countries lack the political dichotomy of haves and have nots. Rich states may fight trade wars with each Economic development in Asia and Latin America is blurring the simple At a more general level, conflicts between rich and poor are unlikely be-

usefully envisioned for most purposes as simply divided economically between and West," it is more appropriate to speak of "the West and the rest," which at culturally is in part another consequence of the universal but unfortunate least implies the existence of many non-Wests. The world is too complex to be plactice of calling European civilization Western civilization. Instead of "East in considerable measure, polarized along an ideological spectrum. There is, superiority of the former to the latter." During the Cold War the world was the strange (the Orient, the East, 'them')" and for assuming the inherent structure, institutions, and prevailing values. The unity of the non-West and common other than the fact that they are non-Western? Japanese, Chinese however, no single cultural spectrum. The polarization of "East" and "West" promoting "the difference between the familiar (Europe, the West, 'us') and the defects of the Orientalism which Edward Said appropriately criticized for the East-West dichotomy are myths created by the West. These myths suffer Hindu, Muslim, and African civilizations share little in terms of religion, social North and South or culturally between East and West. level, the West is an entity. What, however, do non-Western societies have in The cultural bifurcation of the world division is still less useful. At some

these assumptions." more or less 184 states of the post-Cold War world can be predicted from a Polential threat, it attempts to protect its own security by strengthening its Power and/or by allying itself with other states. The interests and actions of the cording to this theory states are the primary, indeed, the only important actors power. If one state sees another state increasing its power and thereby becoming mure their survival and security, states invariably aftempt to maximize their in world affairs, the relation among states is one of anarchy, and hence to nom what is often called the "realist" theory of international relations. Ac-184 States, More or Less. A third map of the post-Cold War world derives

This "realist" picture of the world is a highly useful starting point for analyzing international affairs and explains much state behavior. States are and will remain the dominant entities in world affairs. They maintain armies, conduct diplomacy, negotiate treaties, fight wars, control international organizations, influence and in considerable measure shape production and commerce. The governments of states give priority to insuring the external security of their states (although they often may give higher priority to insuring their security as a government against internal threats). Overall this statist paradigm does provide a more realistic picture of and guide to global politics than the one- or two-world paradigms.

It also, however, suffers severe limitations.

not only by their domestic values and institutions but by international norm from the East. They saw their interests in a way which would not have been Western European states then saw a political, ideological, and military threat States in the late 1940s, States respond primarily to perceived threats, and the pean countries would have coalesced with the Soviet Union against the United of course, attempt to balance power, but if that is all they did, Western Eurointerests in terms of power but also in terms of much clse besides. States often understanding state behavior but does not get one very far. States define their the same way. Its simple assumption that power is all is a starting point for and institutions will see common interest. Democratic states have commonal and institutions. Above and beyond their primal concern with security, differen influence how states define their interests. The interests of states are also shaped predicted by classic realist theory. Values, culture, and institutions pervasively does not have to ally with another power to deter invasion by the United States ties with other democratic states and hence do not fight each other. Canad types of states define their interests in different ways. States with similar culture It assumes all states perceive their inferests in the same way and act

statesmen are less likely to see threats emerging from people they feel th after the Cold War will differ from global politics during and before the Col understand and can trust because of shared language, religion, values, instiare perceived are powerfully shaped by cultural considerations. Publics at terms of the intentions of other states, and those intentions and how the often in conflict with countries of different culture. States define threats and ally themselves with states with similar or common culture and are moincreasingly define their interests in civilizational terms. They cooperate wi from one historical period to another. In the post-Cold War world, state War. Yet clearly there are differences, and states pursue their interests different throughout history: They thus do not help us to understand how global politic stand and feel they cannot trust. Now that a Marxist-Leninist Soviet Union whose societies have different cultures and hence which they do not und tions, and culture. They are much more likely to see threats coming from sta longer poses a threat to the Free World and the United States no lon At a basic level the assumptions of the statist paradigm have been tru

poses a countering threat to the communist world, countries in both worlds increasingly see threats coming from societies which are culturally different.

of the hard, "billiard ball" state, which purportedly has been the norm since the ingly permeable. All these developments have led many to see the gradual end country and are having increasing difficulty controlling the flows of ideas. layered international order more closely resembling that of medieval limes. technology, goods, and people. State borders, in short, have become increasable measure lost the ability to control the flow of money in and out of their moting substantial autonomy or secession. State governments have in considerstates, including those in the developed world, regional movements exist pro-Ireaty of Westphalia in 1648,12 and the emergence of a varied, complex, multidevolution to substate, regional, provincial, and local political entities. In many some cases, most notably in Europe, international justitutions have assumed Globally there has been a trend for state governments to lose power also through bureaucracies have been created which operate directly on individual citizens. important functions previously performed by states, and powerful international the right to judge and to constrain what states do in their own territory. In losses in sovereignty, functions, and power. International institutions now assert While states remain the primary actors in world affairs, they also are suffering

Sheer Chaos. The weakening of states and the appearance of "failed states" contribute to a fourth image of a world in anarchy. This paradigm stresses: the breakdown of governmental authority: the breakup of states: the intensification of tribal, ethnic, and religious conflict; the emergence of international criminal mahas; refugees multiplying into the tens of millious; the proliferation of nuclear and other weapons of mass destruction; the spread of terrorism; the prevalence of massacres and ethnic cleansing. This picture of a world in chaos works published in 1993; Out of Control by Zbigniew Brzeziński and Pandae-like the states meralic.

Braphic and accurate picture of much of what is going on in the world, and that have occurred with the end of the Cold War. As of early 1993, for instance, territorial-ethnic claims and conflicts concerning throughout the world, and 164 mer Soviet Union, of which 30 had involved some form of armed conflict. The world may be chaos but it is not totally without order. An image of the world, for ordering events and evaluating throughout predicting through the anarchy, for distinguishing among types of chaos and their possibly mental policy maters.

The New Era in World Politics

Comparing Worlds: Realism, Parsimony AND PREDICTIONS

challenge is to develop a paradigm that accounts for more criteral events and one trend will prevail and under what circumstances the other will. The realism and, if pursued very far, leads to the rejection of all paradigms or closely approximate reality than a simpler one. Yet this sacrifices parsimony for integration.11 Both trends indeed exist, and a more complex model will more provides a better understanding of trends than other paradigms at a similar fragmentation-integration model fails to set forth under what circumstances theories. In addition, by embracing two simultaneous opposing trends, the that the world is engaged in simultaneous processes of fragmentation and these could be countered by combining paradigms, and positing, for instance ism and parsimony. Each also has its deficiencies and limitations. Conceivably Fach of these four paradigms offers a somewhat different combination of real level of intellectual abstraction.

affairs if it is fragmenting and torn by proliferating civil strife. The world tribes, ethnic groups, and nationalities. either one, or two, or 184 states, or potentially an almost infinite number of North and South. Nor can the nation state be the base rock of international cannot be both one and fundamentally divided between East and West or These four paradigms are also incompatible with each other. The world

what is unimportant among the multiplying conflicts, predicting future develstatist and chaos paradigms do. It provides an easily grasped and intelligible than they are will each other. A civilizational approach, for instance, holds that incorporates elements of the other paradigms. It is more compatible with them opments, and providing guidelines for policy makers. It also builds on and framework for understanding the world, distinguishing what is important from two-world paradigms; yet it also does not sacrifice parsimony to reality as the these difficulties. It does not sacrifice reality to parsimony as do the one- and Viewing the world in terms of seven or eight civilizations avoids many of

- generating counterforces of cultural assertion and civilizational consciousness. The forces of integration in the world are real and are precisely what are
- between a Western one and a non-Western many. have little if anything in common among them. The world, in short, is divided West as the hitherto dominant civilization and all the others, which, however, The world is in some sense two, but the central distinction is between the
- cultural and civilizational factors. affairs, but their interests, associations, and conflicts are increasingly shaped by · Nation states are and will remain the most important actors in world
- states or orouns from different civilizations. but the conflicts that pose the greatest dangers for stability are those between · The world is indeed anarchical, rife with tribal and nationality conflicts

greater potential than others to escalate into broader wars. would lead the U.N. Secretary General and the U.S. Secretary of State to concentrate their peacemaking efforts on these conflicts which have much were between groups from different civilizations. The civilizational perspective guishing what is more important from what is less important. Slightly less than map for understanding what is going on in the world as the twentieth century half of the forty-eight ethnic conflicts in the world in early 1993, for example ends. No paradigm, however, is good forever. The Cold War model of world For the contemporary period, however, it provides a useful guide for distinpolitics was useful and relevant for forty years but became obsolete in the late 980s, and at some point the civilizational paradigm will suffer a similar fate A civilizational paradigm thus sets forth a relatively simple but not too simple

Possible breakup of Ukraine. Ukrainian unity and independence, and sponsor contingency planning for the mote substantial economic assistance and other measures to help maintain give rise to different policy priorities. Meansheimer's statist prediction of possible war and Russian conquest of Ukraine leads him to support Ukraine's having ween Russia and Ukraine, urge Ukraine to give up its nuclear weapons, pronuclear weapons. A civilizational approach would encourage cooperation hewould lead one to predict might be more violent than that of Czechoslovakia but far less bloody than that of Yugoslavia. These different predictions, in turn, the possibility of Okraine splitting in half, a separation which cultural factors Ukrainian war, a civilizational approach minimizes that and instead highlights gnores. While a statist approach highlights the possibility of a Russianconcept of states as unified and self-identified entities, Meansheimer totally central historical fact of long standing which, in keeping with the "realist" and Ukrainians in both countries, and focuses instead on the civilizational fault line that divides Orthodox castern Ukrainc from Uniate western Ukrainc, a historical links between Russia and Ukraine and the intermingling of Russians approach, on the other hand, emphasizes the close cultural, personal, and together in harmony, but it would be unusual if they do." is A civilizational fity fears. Russia and Ukraine might overcome this dynamic and learn to live that between Russia and Ukraine, often lapse into competition driven by secuthem. Great powers that share a long and unprotected common border, like Ukraine and Russia is tipe for the outbreak of security competition between to be more accurate than those from alternative paradigms. A statist paradigm, and usefulness is the extent to which the predictions derived from it turn out for instance, leads John Mearsheimer to predict that "the situation between Paradigms also generate predictions, and a crucial test of a paradigm's validity

Many important developments after the end of the Cold War were compati-

on in their former territories; the rise of religious fundamentalism throughout the world; the struggles within Russia, Turkey, and Mexico over their identification These include: the breakup of the Soviet Union and Yugoslavia; the wars going ble with the civilizational paradigm and could have been predicted from it.

The New Era in World Politics

developing arms competition in Fast Asia. dation of new democratic regimes in some countries and not in others; and the deliver them; China's continuing role as an "outsider" great power; the consoliresistance of Islamic states to Western pressure on Iraq and Libva; the efforts of Islamic and Confucian states to acquire nuclear weapons and the means to the intensity of the trade conflicts between the United States and Japan; the

trated by the events fitting that paradigm which occurred during a six-month The relevance of the civilizational paradigm to the emerging world is illus-

- lims, and Serbs in the former Yugoslavia; · the continuation and intensification of the fighting among Croats, Mus-
- Muslims or to denounce Croat atrocities in the same way Serb atrocities were • the failure of the West to provide meaningful support to the Bosnian
- and the offer of Iran and other Muslim nations to provide 18,000 troops to protect Bosnian Muslims in getting the Serbs in Croatia to make peace with the Croatian government, the unwillingness of Russia to join other U.N. Security Conneil members
- of Turkish troops to and Iranian troops across the Azerbaijan border, and flict" and "pushes it to dangerous limits of internationalization"; Russia's warning that the Iranian action contributes to "escalation of the con-Iranian demands that the Armenians surrender their conquests, the deployment the intensification of the war between Armenians and Azeris, Turkish and
- the continued fighting in central Asia between Russian troops and mu
- universalism: relativism," and a coalition of Islamic and Confucian states rejecting "Western West, led by U.S. Secretary of State Warren Christopher, denouncing "cultural the confrontation at the Vienna Human Rights Conference between the
- on "the threat from the South"; the refocusing in parallel fashion of Russian and NATO military planner
- the 2000 Olympics to Sydney rather than Beijing: the voting, apparently almost entirely along civilizational lines, that gave
- imposition of U.S. sanctions against China, and the confrontation between China and the United States over the alleged shipment of nuclear technology the sale of missile components from China to Pakistan, the resulting
- further in talks on its own nuclear weapons program; China, despite vigorous U.S. protests, and North Korea's refusal to participat the breaking of the moratorium and the testing of a nuclear weapon of
- tainment" policy directed at both Iran and Iraq; . the revelation that the U.S. State Department was following a "dual co

- against tran or fraq; preparing for two "major regional conflicts," one against North Korea, the other the announcement by the U.S. Defense Department of a new strategy of
- can have the last word on international events"; the call by Iran's president for alliances with China and India so that "we
- the new German legislation drastically curtailing the admission of refugees;
- President Leonid Kravehuk on the disposition of the Black Sea fleet and other • the agreement between Russian President Boris Yeltsin and Ukrainian
- governments as another example of the West's "double standard"; support by Western governments, and its condemnation by almost all Muslim the bombing of Baghdad by the United States, its virtually unanimous
- urban terrorism against the United States"; Sheik Omar Abdel Rahman and his followers for conspiring "to levy a war of the United States' listing Sudan as a terrorist state and indicting Egyptian
- the Czech Republic, and Slovakia into NATO; the improved prospects for the eventual admission of Poland, Hungary,
- was indeed a "torn" country with its population and clites uncertain whether they should join or challenge the West the 1993 Russian parliamentary election which demonstrated that Russia

A comparable list of events demonstrating the relevance of the civilization para-

enting and associating within them. Otherwise, what calastrophic blunders of their provinces and the air one breathes there, the general and particular forms nist today, to be able to define their borders, their centers and peripheries, It it pays to know how to make out, on a map of the world, which civilizations sagely warned, "and even more so with regard to anyone wishing to act within in the contemporary world is concerned," Fernand Brandel has ighted the new role of these factors in global politics. * "|A]s far as anyone 1950s, and a wide range of scholars and observers have recognized and high-War released the cultural and civilizational forces which he identified in the delayed the developments which Pearson saw coming. The end of the Cold ween civilizations themselves."17 The prolonged bipolarity of the Cold War relations among the states of Europe, he argued that "the most far-reaching problems arise no longer between nations within a single civilization but be-Pointing out that international relations "for several centuries" had been the coming to birth in the East will be replicas of those with which we in the West are familiar. The revival of these ancient civilizations will take new forms. would be absurd," he warned, "to imagine that these new political societies presciently pointed to the resurgence and vitality of non-Western societies. "It digm could be compiled for almost any other six-month period in the early 1990s. In the early years of the Cold War, the Canadian statesman Lester Pearson