
This book succeeds against the odds. The field of nationalism and ethnic conflict studies has been marked in recent years by an overproduction of ostensibly 'new' categories of analysis which obfuscate more than they illuminate. David Brown's work breaks with this trend. His work is not revolutionary, but is well-written and sophisticated, making a contribution to the nationalism literature.

Brown's aims are represented by the book's four major sections: 1) a synthesis of the existing nationalism literature, sealed by a reconstituted 'constructivist' argument; 2) a critique of the commonplace 'ethnic=bad', 'civic=good' nationalism dichotomy; 3) an empirical illustration of these arguments through the prism of the Basque, Singaporean and Ghanaian cases; and 4) a powerful critique, both normative and empirical, of corporatist multiculturalism, particularly as practiced in the Australian context.

The most original, and interesting, thread running through the book is Brown's interpretation of ethnic nationalism as both more open, and more benign, than is commonly allowed. Brown views ethno-nationalism as present in most successful nation-states, which tend to fuse ethnic and civic visions, placating minorities with promises of economic development and wealth redistribution. The failure to deliver on the latter promise has led to a 'disentwining' of ethnic and civic nationalism, resulting in a post-1960s rise in inter-ethnic conflict. Multiculturalism, particularly as practiced in the West (the Australian case is used), is viewed by Brown as a counterproductive method of conflict management which exacerbates communal tensions.

The book could have been more focused, addressing fewer theoretical debates; it could also have provided better empirical evidence for its constructivist premises. However, overall, the book is worth the purchase price, and will prove useful to scholar and lecturer alike.
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We look forward to receiving your review before 20th March 2001 – many thanks once again.